# FRGP 2010 MIGRATION PSN APPENDIX E FUNDING SOURCES

#### **FUNDING SOURCES**

The following information on funding sources and grant funding available from each of them is provided only for information. *This information was based on funding that was available for grants during the prior 2008-2009 fiscal year*. Do not apply for a specific funding source. Assignment to an appropriate funding source takes place after projects receive approval for funding.

PROJECTS WITH FEDERAL COST SHARE MUST INDICATE THE SOURCE AND DOLLAR AMOUNT ON THE LAST TWO LINES OF THE PROPOSAL BUDGET. FAILURE TO PROVIDE THIS INFORMATION WHEN APPLICABLE WILL BE CONSIDERED NON-RESPONSIVE AND/OR RESULT IN THE WITHDRAWAL OF FUNDING APPROVAL.

DFG may use other funding sources as they are identified during the funding cycle and direct them to projects. Any identified funds will be directed in order of evaluation score, or in accordance with special constraints on expenditures, if they exist, for a particular funding source.

# The Pacific Coastal Salmon Recovery Fund (PCSRF)

The Pacific Coastal Salmon Recovery Fund (PCSRF) supports the conservation and recovery of Pacific salmon across the rivers, watersheds, and coastal areas they inhabit in Washington, Oregon, California, Alaska, and Idaho. PCSRF was established by Congress in Public Law 106-113 in response to the listings of Pacific salmon and steelhead populations under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) in the 1990s, as well as the impacts of the 1999 Pacific Salmon Treaty Agreement. Since fiscal year (FY) 2000, PCSRF has been used by state, local, and tribal entities to restore and protect salmon habitat; conduct watershed assessments; develop local plans for restoration efforts and management; enhance salmon populations; educate constituencies; and conduct research to monitor, evaluate, and support salmon conservation and recovery. PCSRF supplements and complements existing federal, state, and tribal programs to conserve and restore Pacific salmon and steelhead. States must provide a minimum 33% match as a condition for use of these funds. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) oversees the administration of PCSRF and distributes the congressional appropriations to states and tribes in the Pacific Coast region.

## PCSRF Funding Summary for California 1999-2009 (in Millions)

1999-	2000-	2001-	2002-	2003-	2004-	2005-	2006-	2007-	2008-	2009-
00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10
\$9.0	\$15.1	\$17.0	\$13.9	\$13.0	\$12.8	\$12.2	\$6.2	\$7.8	\$9.2	\$14

#### **Prop 13, Prop 40, Prop 84, and State General Fund Appropriations**

Funding for the Salmon and Steelhead Trout Restoration Account has been provided through various state bond and general fund appropriations as follows (in \$1,000's):

Fiscal Year	Resources Trust Fund	Prop13	Prop 40	State General Fund	Prop 84	Total
2000-01	\$7,994	\$7,091	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15,085
2001-02	\$3,000	\$5,233	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,233
2002-03	\$0	\$4,303	\$8,000	\$0	\$0	\$12,303
2003-04	\$0	\$0	\$8,000	\$0	\$0	\$8,000

Fiscal Year	Resources Trust Fund	Prop13	Prop 40	State General Fund	Prop 84	Total
2004-05	\$0	\$0	\$8,000	\$0	\$0	\$8,000
2005-06	\$6,700	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,700
2006-07	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,000	\$0	\$4,000
2007-08	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,622	\$4,633	\$7,255
2008-09	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,600	\$0	\$2,600
2009-10*	\$718	\$0	\$0	\$1,400	\$0	\$2,118
Total	\$18,412	\$16,627	\$24,000	\$10,622	\$4,633	\$74,294

<sup>\*</sup> Per the Governor's budget, subject to change

# **Commercial Salmon Stamp Account**

Funds generated through the sale of commercial salmon stamps may be granted to projects to restore salmon populations through habitat improvement or fish rearing, and to projects which provide public education on the importance and biology of salmon. Proposals for salmon restoration that meet PSN requirements are reviewed by the Commercial Salmon Trollers Advisory Committee, and the members make funding recommendations to DFG. DFG may not fund projects not recommended by the Committee from this source.

## **Steelhead Fishing Report and Restoration Card**

Proposals for steelhead habitat restoration and enhancement, population and life history assessment and monitoring, and education projects throughout California may be considered for funding from Steelhead Fishing Report and Restoration Card revenue. Steelhead restoration proposals meeting PSN requirements will be submitted to the Steelhead Subcommittee of the California Advisory Committee on Salmon and Steelhead Trout, and the subcommittee members will make funding recommendations to DFG.

Project objectives must be consistent with existing watershed plans and management plans. Proposals encompassing more than steelhead restoration will receive consideration for funding from card revenue only for the steelhead restoration portion of the proposals.

Consideration will be given to proposals to develop watershed plans for drainages lacking basin restoration plans. These proposals must be for creation of steelhead habitat restoration plans based on a watershed approach that includes landowner involvement in long-term planning, as well as economic, social, physical, and biological factors. Again, this funding source will only consider supporting the <u>steelhead</u> restoration portions of watershed plan development proposals. All plan-development proposals must clearly demonstrate how proposal implementation will provide steelhead restoration at the watershed level.

Projects that adhere to the management objectives outlined in the DFG publication *Steelhead Restoration* and *Management Plan for California* (available on-line at <a href="http://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/steelhead/steelhead\_tasks.aspx">http://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/steelhead/steelhead\_tasks.aspx</a>1), or the NOAA publication *Southern California Steelhead Recovery Plan* Public Review Draft Version (available on-line at <a href="http://swr.nmfs.noaa.gov/recovery/So\_Cal/Southern\_California\_Steelhead\_Public\_Draft\_Recovery\_Plan.pdf">http://swr.nmfs.noaa.gov/recovery/So\_Cal/Southern\_California\_Steelhead\_Public\_Draft\_Recovery\_Plan.pdf</a>) will be given a higher priority and may have greater likelihood for favorable funding recommendation by the subcommittee.